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Excitations in Strongly Interacting BECs

Weakly Interacting Systems and Universal Regime

Very dilute systems dominated by two-body correlations

System in gaseous phase

Mean interparticle distance

\[ d = \rho^{-1/3} \text{ large} \]

Low energy two-body processes

\[ E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \rightarrow \tan \delta_l(k) \rightarrow k^{2l+1} \]

only \( s \)-wave scattering \((l=0)\)

\[ \cot \delta_0 = -\frac{1}{ka} + \frac{1}{2}kr_0 + \cdots \]

\[ a = \text{scattering length} \]

only the \( s \)-wave scattering length is relevant when \( ka \rightarrow 0 \)

Universal regime at \( T=0 \)

\[ \rho a^3 \rightarrow 0 \quad ka \rightarrow 0 \]
We want to solve the many-body Schrödinger equation

\[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla_j^2 \Psi_0 + \sum_{i<j}^{N} V(r_{ij}) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0\]

for the wave function (or related quantities)

\[\Psi_0 = \Psi_0(r_1, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_N)\]

Starting from a suitable model potential. Several choices are available:

**Hard Core Potential**

A system of \(N\) spinless bosons of mass \(m\) interacting through a purely repulsive and infinite potential of radius \(R\)

\[V(r) = \begin{cases} 
\infty & r \leq R \\
0 & r > R
\end{cases}\]

with \(R=a\) the Scattering Length
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Repulsive Soft Core Potential

Soft Core Potential
A system of N spinless bosons of mass $m$ interacting through a purely repulsive but finite potential of radius $R$

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} 
V_0 > 0 & r \leq R \\
0 & r > R
\end{cases}$$

with $V_0$ = height of the potential

The corresponding scattering length is given by the expression

$$a = R \left( 1 - \frac{\tanh(K_0 R)}{K_0 R} \right)$$

with the constant $K_0^2 = \frac{m}{\hbar^2} V_0$

This potential is defined by two parameters
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The Euler-Lagrange Problem

Variational Energy: \[ \frac{E}{N} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \int dr_{12} g(r_{12}) \left[ V(r_{12}) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \ln f_2(r_{12}) \right] \]

We want to solve the Optimal Variational Problem

\[ \frac{\delta E[f]}{\delta f(r)} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad E[f] = \frac{\langle \Psi_0 \mid H \mid \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 \mid \Psi_0 \rangle} \]

For the simple Jastrow ansatz, valid at low densities

\[ \Psi_0 = \Psi_0(r_1, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_N) = \prod_{i<j} f(r_{ij}) \]

where \( f(r) \) is the two-body correlation factor with the general structure

- Is zero inside the core
- Goes to 1 at large distance
- Is non-negative

Equivalently: \( g = g[f] \)

\[ g(r_{12}) = \frac{N(N-1)}{\rho^2} \frac{\int dr_3 dr_4 \cdots dr_N}{\int dr_1 dr_2 \cdots dr_N} |\Psi_0|^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\delta E[f]}{\delta g(r)} = 0 \]
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The Euler-Lagrange Problem

In terms of the Static Structure Factor

\[ S(q) = 1 + \rho \int d\mathbf{r} \ e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \ [g(r) - 1] \]

The solution of the Optimization problem reads

\[ S(q) = \frac{t(q)}{\sqrt{t^2(q) + 2t(q)V_{ph}(q)}} \]

with \[ t(q) = \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2m} \] and the simplified Particle-Hole potential

\[ V_{ph}(r) = g(r)V(r) + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} |\nabla \sqrt{g(r)}|^2 + [g(r) - 1] \omega_I(r) \]

written in terms of the Induced Interaction

\[ \omega_I(q) = \frac{1}{2} t(q) \frac{(2S(q) + 1)(S(q) - 1)^2}{S^2(q)} \]

→ Solve the problem iteratively
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HNC/EL Results for $S(k)$

$S(k)$ for Hard Spheres at several values of the gas parameter $x=10^{-4}$, $10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-1}$

$S(k)$ for Soft Spheres at two values of the gas parameter $x=10^{-4}$ and $10^{-3}$, for $R=10\alpha$ (SS10) and $R=5\alpha$ (SS5) (upper and lower curves in each case).
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Results for the Ground State Energy

Comparison to DMC energies for HS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$\bar{E}_{DMC}/N$</th>
<th>$\bar{E}_{EL}/N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.262 \cdot 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.264 \cdot 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.274 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.279 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.311 \cdot 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.316 \cdot 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.424 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.430 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$8.155 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$8.206 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.796 \cdot 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.814 \cdot 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.338$</td>
<td>$1.383$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lee-Huang-Yang energy

$$\frac{E}{N} = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2}\right) 4\pi x \left[1 + \frac{128}{15} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\pi}}\right]$$

Hard Spheres follow the Lee-Huang-Yang law most closely.
Excitations in Strongly Interacting BECs

Excitation Spectrum in Bogoliubov Approximation

Bogoliubov model of elementary excitations, valid at low densities when the scattering length dominates

\[ \omega(k) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}\right)^2 + \frac{8\pi \hbar^2 \rho a}{m} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}} \]

Expand for low \( a \) at fixed momentum \( k \)

\[ \Delta \omega(k) = \omega(k) - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \approx \frac{4\pi \hbar^2 \rho}{m} a \]

→ Linear dependence on \( a \)

Ex: experimental conditions on a BEC of \(^{85}\text{Rb}\) atoms

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m} = 15.423 \text{ kHz} \quad k = \frac{4\pi}{780} \text{ nm}^{-1} \]

\[ \rho = 7.6 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3} \]

\[ a_0 = 0.529 \ \text{Å} \quad \text{Bohr radius} \]
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Experimental measurements for a BEC of $^{85}\text{Rb}$ atoms

Experimental measurements
Papp et al. PRL101, 135301 (2008)

Gas of $^{85}\text{Rb}$ atoms in an F=2, M=-2 state

Experimental conditions:

$$k = \frac{4\pi}{780} \frac{n m^{-1}}{\text{m}} \quad \rho = 7.6 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m} = 15.423 \text{ kHz}$$

Black circles in upper panel: measured line shifts (black circles)

$$\Delta \omega(k) = \omega(k) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}$$

Lower panel: measured width (sigma) of the experimental peak (black circles)

→ Can we reproduce this from $S(k,\omega)$ ?
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Experimental measurements for a BEC of $^{85}$Rb atoms

Experimental Bragg scattering data

Blue line: $a/a_0 = 100$  Red line: $a/a_0 = 585$  Back line: $a/a_0 = 890$
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Response function in the CBF approximation

\( S(k, \omega) \) is the imaginary part of the density-density response induced by a time-dependent perturbation

\[
S(k, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \chi(k, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{\delta \rho_1(k, \omega)}{\rho_0 U_{\text{ext}}(k, \omega)} \right]
\]

Variational wave function:

\[
\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(t)}} e^{\delta U(t)} e^{-iE_0 t/\hbar} \Psi_0
\]

with time-dependent correlations

\[
\delta U = \sum_j \delta u_1(r_j; t) + \sum_{i<j} \delta u_2(r_i, r_j; t) + \cdots
\]

and the minimization of the action integral

\[
\delta S = \delta \int_{t_0}^{t} dt \left\langle \Psi \left| H - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right| \Psi \right\rangle \equiv 0
\]

Setting \( \delta u_n = 0 \ \forall n > 1 \) leads to the Feynman approximation

\[
S(k, \omega) = S(k) \delta(\omega - \epsilon_F(k)), \quad \epsilon_F(k) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{S(k)}
\]
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Response function in the CBF approximation

Keeping $\delta u_2$ improves over that. Use continuity equations for the one- and two-particle densities and currents to isolate $\delta u_1$ and $\delta u_2$ in terms of one- and two-body density fluctuations. Disregarding triplet correlations one arrives to

$$\chi(k, \omega) = \frac{S(k)}{\hbar \omega - \epsilon_F(k) - \Sigma(k, \omega)} - \frac{S(k)}{\hbar \omega + \epsilon_F(k) + \Sigma^*(k, \omega)}$$

with the Self-Energy

$$\Sigma(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dp \ dq}{(2\pi)^3 \rho} \delta(k + p + q) \frac{|V_3(k; p, q)|^2}{\hbar \omega - \epsilon_F(p) - \epsilon_F(q)}$$

and the three-phonon coupling vertex

$$V_3(k; p, q) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sqrt{\frac{S(p)S(q)}{S(k)}} \left[ k \cdot p X(p) + k \cdot q X(q) - k^2 u_3(k; p, q) \right]$$

Written in terms of $S(k)$ through $X(p) = 1 - 1/S(p)$
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Response function for HS in the CBF approximation

CBF

Bogoliubov

$\alpha$

$x=0.001$

$x=0.02$

$w$

$q$
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CBF results for Soft Spheres $S(k, \omega)$ with $R=3.5a$

\[ k = \frac{4\pi}{780} \, nm^{-1} \]
\[ \rho = 7.6 \cdot 10^{13} \, cm^{-3} \]

Soft Spheres with $R=3.5a$ for different values of the scattering length

The position of the peak moves to higher energies with increasing scattering length, then disappears, and finally shifts to lower energies at even higher values of $a$. 
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Impact of the Instrumental Resolution Effects for SS with $R = 3.5a$

Instrumental Resolution Effects do kill all features in the response, leaving only a gaussian-like function that is almost identical to the Feynman approximation folded by the same function.
Max. Differences between folded Feynman and folded CBF $\sim 0.4$ kHz
Excitations in Strongly Interacting BECs

Impact of the Instrumental Resolution Effects for SS with R=3.5a
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Impact of the Instrumental Resolution Effects for SS with $R=3.5a$

$S(k, \omega) \ [1/kHz]$

- $\sigma=0.5$
- $\sigma=1.0$
- $\sigma=2.0$
- $\sigma=5.0$

$\omega/2\pi \ [kHz]$

$\frac{a}{a_0} = 961$

(b)

Scattering length ($a_0$) vs. Width (kHz)
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Results for Hard Spheres and Soft Spheres

\[ \Delta \omega(k) \text{ (kHz)} \]

\[ a/a_0 \]

Experiments

Bogoliubov

HS
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Results for Hard Spheres and Soft Spheres
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$S(k,\omega)$ for Soft Spheres

$S(k,\omega)$ map for a somewhat larger value of $x$.

Green line: free
Red line: Feynman

Wider structures develop with increasing energy $\omega$, which could be seen increasing the resolution.
More realistically, Rb atoms interact through a Van der Waals forces. However, only the long range part of $V(r)$ is relevant at low densities

$$V(r \gg r_0) = V_0 \left[ \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^6 \right] \approx -V_0 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^6 = -\frac{C_6}{r^6}$$

Molecular dynamics simulations yield energy curves that directly provide values of $V_0$, $r_0$, and $C_6$. Krauss & Stevens, *J.Chem.Phys.* 93, 4236 (1990)

Actually several choices are available for $^{85}$Rb atoms:

- Krauss & Stevens: $C_6 \sim 5700$ a.u.
- Geltman *et al.*: $4619 \leq C_6 \leq 4635$ a.u.
- Van Kampen *et al.*: $4698 \leq C_6 \leq 4710$ a.u.
- J.Pade: $C_6 \sim 4698$ a.u. & $C_6 \sim 5295$ a.u.

All these yield negative values for the scattering length in a broad range

$-480 \leq a \leq -255$

Experiments of $^{85}$Rb BECS change $a$ exploiting Feschbach resonances
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We set $C_6 = 4700$ a.u. and use the $(2n-2,n)$-LJ Pade's potential with $n=6$

$$V(r) = V_0 \left[ \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{10} - \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^6 \right]$$

since this one has an analytic expression for the scattering length

$$a = r_0 \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{5-x}{8} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3-x}{8} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{4} \right)}$$

with

$$x = r_0 \sqrt{\frac{mV_0}{\hbar^2}}$$

- Many combinations of $r_0$ and $V_0$ yield the same $a$
- Slight changes in $r_0$ and $V_0$ yield large changes in $a$

when $a/r_0$ is large

The systems has a very weakly-bound state for large $a$
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Results for Hard and Soft Spheres, and Monte Carlo
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Monte Carlo Results for the (10,6)LJ potential

Particle coordinates for several different realizations of the system

\[ \Leftrightarrow \text{Small scattering lengths} \]
\[ \text{Universal regime} \]
\[ \text{a gas} \]

Large scattering lengths
out of the Universal regime
clusters \[ \Rightarrow \]
Summary and Conclusions:

We can use simple HNC/EL and CBF to describe quantum gases at the experimental values of $x$ nowadays available, showing the departure from the universal regime.

At least a two-parameter potential is required to get qualitative agreement with the experimental data for the excitation spectrum.

Experiments have to improve considerably the resolution in order to see any detailed structure that goes beyond the Feynman approximation.

Realistic potentials are not easy to deal with. One has to describe the system in a metastable state. *Work is being done along this line...*